

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO  
RECONSIDER ORDER DISMISSING  
CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY  
WITH PTO 10**

This Document Relates to:

Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer

*Jane Doe LR v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-04306-CRB*

*Jane Doe JB v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-04316-CRB*

*Jane Doe DC v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-04373-CRB*

*Jane Doe (D.B.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-04428-CRB*

*Jane Doe (AR) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05947-CRB*

*Jane Doe (AS) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05960-CRB*

*Jane Doe NLG (AB) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-09188-CRB*

*Jane Doe NLG (HK) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-00675-CRB*

*Jane Doe NLG (CR) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-01716*

*Jane Doe NLG (HW) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-01725-CRB*

*Jane Doe NLG V.M. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-02622-CRB*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Having considered Plaintiffs' Motion to Reconsider Order Dismissing Cases for Failure to Comply with PTO 10, the Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration and AMENDS its Order Dismissing Cases for Failure to Comply with PTO 10 (ECF No. 4442) to remove Plaintiffs Jane Doe LR, 3:24-cv-04306; Jane Doe JB, 3:24-cv-04316; Jane Doe DC, 3:24-cv-04373; Jane Doe (D.B.), 3:24-cv-04428; Jane Doe (AR), 3:24-cv-05947; Jane Doe (AS), 3:24-cv-05960; Jane Doe NLG (AB), 3:24-cv-09188; Jane Doe NLG (HK), 3:25-cv-00675; Jane Doe NLG (CR), 3:25-cv-01716; Jane Doe NLG (HW), 3:25-cv-01725; and Jane Doe NLG V.M., 3:25-cv-02622.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. CHARLES R. BREYER  
United States District Court Judge